arXiv:1608.02492v3 [math.GR] 6 Feb 2017

REGULAR SUBGROUPS OF THE AFFINE GROUP WITH NO TRANSLATIONS

M.A. PELLEGRINI AND M.C. TAMBURINI BELLANI

Abstract. Given a regular subgroup R of $\mathrm{AGL}_n(\mathbb{F})$, one can ask if R contains nontrivial translations. A negative answer to this question was given by Liebeck, Praeger and Saxl for $\mathrm{AGL}_2(p)$ (p a prime), $\mathrm{AGL}_3(p)$ (p odd) and for $\mathrm{AGL}_4(2)$. A positive answer was given by Hegedűs for $\mathrm{AGL}_n(p)$ when $n \geq 4$ if p is odd and for $n = 3$ or $n \geq 5$ if $p = 2$. A first generalization to finite fields of Hegedűs' construction was recently obtained by Catino, Colazzo and Stefanelli. In this paper we give examples of such subgroups in $\mathrm{AGL}_n(\mathbb{F})$ for any $n \geq 5$ and any field $\mathbb{F}$. For $n < 5$ we provide necessary and sufficient conditions for their existence, assuming R to be unipotent if $\mathrm{char}\,\mathbb{F} = 0$.

1. Introduction

Consider the affine group

$$\mathrm{AGL}_n(\mathbb{F}) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & v \\ 0 & A \end{pmatrix} : v \in \mathbb{F}^n,\ A \in \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{F}) \right\}$$

acting on the right on the row vector space $\mathbb{F}^{n+1}$, whose canonical basis will be denoted by $\{e_0, e_1, \ldots, e_n\}$. Furthermore, denote by

$$\pi : \mathrm{AGL}_n(\mathbb{F}) \to \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{F})$$

the obvious epimorphism $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & v \\ 0 & A \end{pmatrix} \mapsto A$, whose kernel is the translation group $\mathcal{T}$. A subgroup R of $\mathrm{AGL}_n(\mathbb{F})$ is called *regular* if it acts regularly on the set of the affine points: namely if, for every $v \in \mathbb{F}^n$, there exists a unique element of R having the affine point $(1, v)$ as first row.

The problem of the existence of regular subgroups of $\mathrm{AGL}_n(\mathbb{F})$ having no translations other than the identity was first raised by Liebeck, Praeger and Saxl in [4]. Clearly, for such subgroups we have

$$R \cong \pi(R). \tag{1.1}$$

In the case of fields $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{F}_p$ of prime order p, the above-mentioned authors also proved that no such regular subgroups exist for $\mathrm{AGL}_2(p)$, any p, $\mathrm{AGL}_3(p)$, $p > 2$, and for $\mathrm{AGL}_4(2)$. The first positive examples, which proved their existence, were constructed by Hegedűs in [2], in the case $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{F}_p$. More precisely, he proved that $\mathrm{AGL}_n(p)$ contains a regular subgroup having no translations other than the identity, whenever (i) $n = 3$ or $n \geq 5$, if $p = 2$ or (ii) $n \geq 4$, if $p > 2$. The crucial property that he used is the existence of a non-degenerate quadratic form over $\mathbb{F}_p^{n-1}$ and an embedding of the additive group $(\mathbb{F}_p, +)$ into the corresponding orthogonal

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 20B35, 15A63, 15A21.
Key words and phrases. Regular subgroup, affine group, translations.

group. Clearly this property holds for much more general fields than $\mathbb{F}_p$. This fact was recently used by Catino, Colazzo and Stefanelli who extended Hegedűs' result to $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{F}_{p^\ell}$, [1].

In Section 2 we extend to an arbitrary field $\mathbb{F}$ the negative results of [4], giving an independent proof of the following facts:

Theorem 1.1. *Let R be a regular subgroup of $\mathrm{AGL}_n(\mathbb{F})$ and suppose that R is unipotent if $\mathrm{char}\,\mathbb{F} = 0$. Assume that one of the following conditions holds:*

(i) $n \leq 2$;
(ii) $n = 3$ *and* $\mathbb{F} \neq \mathbb{F}_2$;
(iii) $n = 4$ *and* $\mathrm{char}\,\mathbb{F} = 2$.

Then R contains a nontrivial translation.

On the other hand, using Hegedűs' method, we generalize [1] proving the following result.

Theorem 1.2. *Let $\mathbb{F}$ be any field and W be a subspace of $\mathbb{F}$, viewed as a vector space over its prime field $\mathbb{F}_0$. Assume that one of the following conditions holds:*

(i) $n = 3$ *and* $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{F}_2$;
(ii) $n \geq 4$ *and* $\mathrm{char}\,\mathbb{F} \neq 2$;
(iii) $n \geq 5$ *and* $\mathrm{char}\,\mathbb{F} = 2$.

Then, there exists a regular subgroup R_W of $\mathrm{AGL}_n(\mathbb{F})$ such that $R_W \cap \mathcal{T} \cong (W, +)$. In particular there exists a regular subgroup $R_{\{0\}}$ such that $R_{\{0\}} \cap \mathcal{T} = \{I_{n+1}\}$.

Generalizations of this result to other additive subgroups W of $\mathbb{F}^n$ can easily be obtained taking, for example, direct products of affine groups or exploiting the flexibility of our Lemma 3.1.

We point out that the present paper provides the first known examples of regular subgroups of $\mathrm{AGL}_n(\mathbb{F})$, $n \geq 4$, intersecting trivially the subgroup $\mathcal{T}$ in the case of infinite fields $\mathbb{F}$.

Combining Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 we have the following characterization.

Corollary 1.3. *Let $\mathbb{F}$ be a field of positive characteristic. Then the affine group $\mathrm{AGL}_n(\mathbb{F})$ contains regular subgroups intersecting trivially $\mathcal{T}$ if and only if one of the following occurs:*

(i) $n = 3$ *and* $|\mathbb{F}| = 2$;
(ii) $n = 4$ *and* $\mathrm{char}\,\mathbb{F} > 2$;
(iii) $n \geq 5$.

Corollary 1.4. *Let $\mathbb{F}$ be a field of characteristic 0. Then the affine group $\mathrm{AGL}_n(\mathbb{F})$ contains unipotent regular subgroups intersecting trivially $\mathcal{T}$ if and only if $n \geq 4$.*

2. Non existence results

We recall that every abelian regular subgroup of $\mathrm{AGL}_n(\mathbb{F})$ contains nontrivial translations, see [6, Lemma 5.2]. Furthermore, by [6, Theorem 3.2], if $\mathrm{char}\,\mathbb{F} > 0$ any regular subgroup R of $\mathrm{AGL}_n(\mathbb{F})$ is unipotent. We will make repeated use of the fact that, up to conjugation under $\mathrm{AGL}_n(\mathbb{F})$, any unipotent subgroup of $\mathrm{AGL}_n(\mathbb{F})$ is contained in the subgroup $\mathrm{U}_{n+1}(\mathbb{F})$ of upper unitriangular matrices of $\mathrm{GL}_{n+1}(\mathbb{F})$, see [3, 17.5].

Lemma 2.1. *Every unipotent regular subgroup R of $\mathrm{AGL}_2(\mathbb{F})$ contains nontrivial translations.*

Proof. If the claim is false, then $R \cong \pi(R)$ by (1.1). Now, $\pi(R)$ is a subgroup of $\mathrm{U}_2(\mathbb{F})$, which is abelian. So, R would be abelian, whence the contradiction $R \cap \mathcal{T} \neq \{I_3\}$. □

In the following we denote by $E_{i,j}$ the elementary matrix having 1 at position (i,j), 0 elsewhere. Also, $J_m = I_m + \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} E_{i,i+1}$ denotes the unipotent upper triangular Jordan block of size m.

Proposition 2.2. *The group* $\mathrm{AGL}_3(\mathbb{F})$ *contains a unipotent regular subgroup* R *such that* $R \cap \mathcal{T} = \{I_4\}$ *if and only if* $|\mathbb{F}| = 2$.

Proof. Suppose that $R \cap \mathcal{T} = \{I_4\}$. By what observed at the beginning of this section R is not abelian. Moreover $R \cong \pi(R)$ by (1.1). By [5, Theorem 4.4], up to conjugation under $\mathrm{AGL}_3(\mathbb{F})$, we may suppose that $\mathbf{Z}(R)$ contains an element $\tilde{z}$ which is one of the following Jordan forms: J_4, $\mathrm{diag}(J_3, J_1)$, $\mathrm{diag}(J_2, J_2)$ or $\mathrm{diag}(J_2, J_1, J_1)$. Since $\mathbf{C}_{\mathrm{AGL}_3(\mathbb{F})}(J_4)$ is abelian, $\tilde{z} \neq J_4$. Similarly, every unipotent subgroup of $\pi(\mathbf{C}_{\mathrm{AGL}_3(\mathbb{F})}(\mathrm{diag}(J_3, J_1)))$ is abelian: so, $\tilde{z} \neq \mathrm{diag}(J_3, J_1)$. Clearly $\tilde{z}$ cannot be the translation $\mathrm{diag}(J_2, J_1, J_1)$.

Thus, we are left to consider the case where $\tilde{z} = \mathrm{diag}(J_2, J_2)$. Replacing $\tilde{z}$ with its conjugate by the permutation matrix corresponding to the transposition $(2,3)$, we may suppose that

$$z = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbf{Z}(R).$$

In this way, $\mathbf{C}_{\mathrm{AGL}_3(\mathbb{F})}(z)$ is upper triangular, and in particular $R \leq \mathbf{C}_{\mathrm{AGL}_3(\mathbb{F})}(z)$ consists of matrices of shape

$$r_{(x_1,x_2,x_3)} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x_1 & x_2 & x_3 \\ 0 & 1 & a(X) & b(X) \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & x_1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad X = (x_1, x_2, x_3).$$

Now, for all $x \in \mathbb{F}$ set $t_x = r_{(0,0,x)}$ and call $\tilde{a}(x) = a(0,0,x)$ and $\tilde{b}(x) = b(0,0,x)$. It is easy to see that $t_x t_y = t_{x+y}$, which implies that $\tilde{b}(x+y) = \tilde{b}(x) + \tilde{b}(y)$ for all $x, y \in \mathbb{F}$. Suppose that $\tilde{a}(x) = 0$ for some $x \in \mathbb{F}$. Then $[r_{(1,0,0)}, t_x] = I_4 + \tilde{b}(x)E_{1,4} \in R \cap \mathcal{T}$, which implies $\tilde{b}(x) = 0$. In particular, $t_x \in \mathcal{T}$ and so $x = 0$. Hence, for all $x \neq 0$, we have $\tilde{a}(x) \neq 0$ and we can consider the element $r_{(\tilde{a}(x)^{-1},0,0)}$. We have

$$[r_{(\tilde{a}(x)^{-1},0,0)}, t_x]z = I_4 + 2E_{1,3} + \frac{\tilde{b}(x)+1}{\tilde{a}(x)} E_{1,4}.$$

If $\mathrm{char}\,\mathbb{F} \neq 2$, this element is a nontrivial translation and our claim is proved. So, assume $\mathrm{char}\,\mathbb{F} = 2$. Then $\tilde{b}(x) = 1$ for all $x \neq 0$.

If $|\mathbb{F}| > 2$, we can take an element $w \in \mathbb{F}$ such that $w \neq 0, 1$. From the additivity of $\tilde{b}(x)$ we obtain the contradiction $1 + 1 = \tilde{b}(1) + \tilde{b}(w) = \tilde{b}(1 + w) = 1$. Finally, let $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{F}_2$. The reader can verify that

$$R = \langle (I_4 + E_{1,2} + E_{2,3} + E_{3,4}), (I_4 + E_{1,4} + E_{2,3} + E_{2,4}) \rangle$$

is a regular subgroup of $\mathrm{AGL}_3(2)$ such that $R \cap \mathcal{T} = \{I_4\}$. □

Proposition 2.3. *Let $\mathbb{F}$ be a field of characteristic 2. Then every regular subgroup R of $\mathrm{AGL}_4(\mathbb{F})$ contains nontrivial translations.*

Proof. By what observed at the beginning of this section, R is unipotent since $\mathrm{char}\,\mathbb{F} = 2$. By [5, Theorem 4.4], up to conjugation in $\mathrm{AGL}_4(\mathbb{F})$, we may suppose that $\mathbf{Z}(R)$ contains an element $\tilde{z}$ which is one of the following Jordan forms: J_5, $\mathrm{diag}(J_4, J_1)$, $\mathrm{diag}(J_3, J_2)$, $\mathrm{diag}(J_3, J_1, J_1)$, $\mathrm{diag}(J_2, J_2, J_1)$ or $\mathrm{diag}(J_2, J_1, J_1, J_1)$. Suppose that $R \cap \mathcal{T} = \{I_5\}$, whence $R \cong \pi(R)$. It follows $r^4 = I_5$ for all $r \in R$, which gives $\tilde{z} \neq J_5$. Furthermore, $\tilde{z} \notin \{\mathrm{diag}(J_3, J_2), \mathrm{diag}(J_3, J_1, J_1), \mathrm{diag}(J_2, J_1,\ J_1, J_1)\}$, since $\mathrm{diag}(J_3, J_2)^2 = \mathrm{diag}(J_3, J_1, J_1)^2 = I_5 + E_{1,3} \in \mathcal{T}$ and $\mathrm{diag}(J_2, J_1,\ J_1, J_1) \in \mathcal{T}$. Now, if $\tilde{z} = \mathrm{diag}(J_4, J_1)$, then every unipotent subgroup of $\pi(\mathbf{C}_{\mathrm{AGL}_4(\mathbb{F})}(\tilde{z}))$ is abelian, which implies that R is abelian, an absurd. We are left to consider the case $\tilde{z} = \mathrm{diag}(J_2, J_2, J_1)$. Using the fact that R is unipotent we obtain that

$$R \leq \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \rho_1 & \rho_2 & \rho_3 & \rho_4 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & \rho_2 & 0 \\ 0 & \rho_5 & 1 & \rho_6 & \rho_7 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \rho_8 & 0 & \rho_9 & 1 \end{pmatrix} : \rho_i \in \mathbb{F} \right\}.$$

Conjugating by the permutation matrix corresponding to $(2, 4, 5, 3)$ we may suppose that $z = I_5 + E_{1,4} + E_{2,5} \in \mathbf{Z}(R)$ and write any element r of R as

$$r = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x_1 & x_2 & x_3 & x_4 \\ 0 & 1 & \alpha_1(X) & \alpha_2(X) & \alpha_3(X) \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & \alpha_4(X) & \alpha_5(X) \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & x_1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad X = (x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4). \tag{2.1}$$

So, $R \cong \pi(R) \leq \mathrm{U}_4(\mathbb{F})$, which implies that $[[r_1, r_2], r_3] \in \mathbf{Z}(R)$ for all $r_1, r_2, r_3 \in R$.

It will be convenient to call s the element of R having $(1, 1, 0, 0, 0)$ as first row. Since $g = I_5 + \alpha_5(1, 0, 0, 0)E_{3,4}$ centralizes z, the elements of R^g still have shape (2.1) and $g^{-1}sg$ still has $(1, 1, 0, 0, 0)$ as first row, but with the component of position $(3, 5)$ equal to 0. So, up to conjugation by g, we may suppose that

$$z = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbf{Z}(R), \qquad s = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & \beta_1 & \beta_2 & \beta_3 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & \beta_4 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{2.2}$$

Now, suppose that $\alpha_1(X) = 0$ for all $X \in \mathbb{F}^4$ (and in particular $\beta_1 = 0$). In this case the stabilizer R_0 in R of $V_0 = \langle e_0, e_1, e_3, e_4 \rangle$, which consists of the matrices having $x_2 = 0$, induces a regular subgroup $\tilde{R}$ of $\mathrm{AGL}_3(\mathbb{F})$. In particular, since $R_0 \cap \mathcal{T} = \{I_5\}$, $\tilde{R}$ contains no translations other than the identity: by Proposition 2.2 we must have $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{F}_2$. Actually, by [4, Lemma 7.2], we may assume $\mathbb{F} \neq \mathbb{F}_2$ from the beginning. Nevertheless, to keep our proof independent, we exclude this

possibility directly. So, assume $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{F}_2$ and take the two following elements of R:

$$v = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & \nu_2 & \nu_3 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & \nu_4 & \nu_5 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad t = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & \xi_2 & \xi_3 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & \xi_4 & \xi_5 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in R.$$

From $v^2 = I_5 + \nu_4 E_{1,4} + \nu_5 E_{1,5}$ and $[v,t] = I_5 + \xi_4 E_{1,4} + \xi_5 E_{1,5}$ we obtain $\nu_4 = \nu_5 = \xi_4 = \xi_5 = 0$. Suppose that $\xi_2 = 0$. Then $[s,t] = I_5 + \xi_3 E_{1,5} \in \mathcal{T}$, which implies $\xi_3 = 0$ and then the absurd $t \in \mathcal{T}$. Hence, $\xi_2 = 1$ and $z[s,t] = I_5 + (1 + \xi_3)E_{1,5}$ gives $\xi_3 = 1$. Now, from $v, vz, vt, vtz \notin \mathcal{T}$ we get, respectively, $(\nu_2, \nu_3) \neq (0,0), (0,1), (1,1), (1,0)$, an absurd.

This proves that there exists an element

$$u = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & y_1 & y_2 & y_3 & y_4 \\ 0 & 1 & \gamma_1 & \gamma_2 & \gamma_3 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & \gamma_4 & \gamma_5 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & y_1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in R$$

having $\gamma_1 \neq 0$. Taking s as in (2.2), from $s[[s,u],s] = [[s,u],s]s$, we get $\beta_4 = \frac{\beta_1\gamma_4}{\gamma_1}$. Suppose $\gamma_4 \neq 0$. In this case, $s^4 = I_5 + \beta_1\beta_4 E_{1,5} = I_5 + \frac{\beta_1^2\gamma_4}{\gamma_1} E_{1,5}$ implies $\beta_1 = 0$, whence the contradiction $[s,u]^2 = I_5 + \gamma_1\gamma_4 E_{1,5} \neq I_5$. So, $\gamma_4 = \beta_4 = 0$, which implies that $[[s,u],u] = I_5 + \gamma_1\gamma_5 E_{1,5}$ and then $\gamma_5 = 0$. Now, taking any $r \in R$ (having shape (2.1)), the condition $s[[s,r],u] = [[s,r],u]s$ implies $\alpha_4(X) = 0$ for all $X \in \mathbb{F}^4$. Hence, $[[u,r],s] = I_5 + \gamma_1\alpha_5(X)$ gives $\alpha_5(X) = 0$ for all $X \in \mathbb{F}^4$. So, every element r of R has shape

$$r = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x_1 & x_2 & x_3 & x_4 \\ 0 & 1 & \alpha_1(X) & \alpha_2(X) & \alpha_3(X) \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & x_1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad X = X(x_1,x_2,x_3,x_4). \tag{2.3}$$

Now, set $m_x = r_{(x,0,0,0)}$ and $t_{(x_2,x_4)} = r_{(0,x_2,0,x_4)}$ and call $\tilde{\alpha}_i(x_2,x_4) = \alpha_i(0,x_2,0,x_4)$ for any $i = 1,2,3$. Note that $t_{(x_2,x_4)}t_{(y_2,y_4)} = t_{(x_2+x_4,y_2+y_4)}$, which implies $\tilde{\alpha}_i(x_2+y_2,x_4+y_4) = \tilde{\alpha}_i(x_2,x_4)\tilde{\alpha}_i(y_2,y_4)$ $(i = 1,2,3)$ for all $x_2,x_4,y_2,y_4 \in \mathbb{F}$. Suppose that $\tilde{\alpha}_2(x_2,x_4) = 0$ for some $x_2,x_4 \in \mathbb{F}$. Then $[s,t_{(x_2,x_4)}] = I_5 + \tilde{\alpha}_1(x_2,x_4)E_{1,3} + \tilde{\alpha}_3(x_2,x_4)E_{1,5} \in \mathcal{T}$, where s is as in (2.2) with $\beta_4 = 0$. This implies $\tilde{\alpha}_1(x_2,x_4) = \tilde{\alpha}_3(x_2,x_4) = 0$. In particular, we obtain that $t_{(x_2,x_4)} \in \mathcal{T}$, giving $x_2 = x_4 = 0$. We conclude that, if $(x_2,x_4) \neq (0,0)$, then $\tilde{\alpha}_2(x_2,x_4) \neq 0$ and so we can consider the element $m_{\tilde{\alpha}_2(x_2,x_4)^{-1}}$. We have

$$z[m_{\tilde{\alpha}_2(x_2,x_4)^{-1}}, t_{(x_2,x_4)}] = I_5 + \frac{\tilde{\alpha}_1(x_2,x_4)}{\tilde{\alpha}_2(x_2,x_4)}E_{1,3} + \frac{\tilde{\alpha}_3(x_2,x_4)+1}{\tilde{\alpha}_2(x_2,x_4)}E_{1,5},$$

which gives $\tilde{\alpha}_1(x_2,x_4) = 0$ and $\tilde{\alpha}_3(x_2,x_4) = 1$ for all $(x_2,x_4) \neq (0,0)$. In particular, we have $1 + 1 = \tilde{\alpha}_3(1,0) + \tilde{\alpha}_3(0,1) = \tilde{\alpha}_3(1,1) = 1$, an absurd. □

Proof of Theorem 1.1. If $n = 1$, then $\mathrm{AGL}_1(\mathbb{F}) = \mathcal{T}$. For $n \geq 2$ the statement follows from the fact that, if $\mathrm{char}\,\mathbb{F} > 0$, then any regular subgroup of $\mathrm{AGL}_n(\mathbb{F})$ is unipotent. It suffices to apply Lemma 2.1 and Propositions 2.2 and 2.3. □

3. Proof of Theorem 1.2

In the following, the group of isometries of a quadratic form Q on $\mathbb{F}^m$ will be denoted by $\mathrm{O}_m(\mathbb{F}, Q)$. Namely

$$\mathrm{O}_m(\mathbb{F}, Q) = \{A \in \mathrm{GL}_m(\mathbb{F}) : Q(vA) = Q(v), \text{ for all } v \in \mathbb{F}^m\}.$$

Lemma 3.1. *Let $m, k \geq 1$ and d be a fixed row vector of $\mathbb{F}^k$. Let Q be a quadratic form on $\mathbb{F}^m$ with polar form J and $\varphi : (\mathbb{F}^k, +) \to \mathrm{O}_m(\mathbb{F}, Q)$ be a group homomorphism. Then the following holds:*

(a) *The set* $N = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & v & Q(v)d \\ 0 & I_m & Jv^{\mathrm{T}} \otimes d \\ 0 & 0 & I_k \end{pmatrix} : v \in \mathbb{F}^m \right\}$ *is a subgroup of* $\mathrm{AGL}_{m+k}(\mathbb{F})$.

(b) *The set* $M = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & a \\ 0 & \varphi(a) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & I_k \end{pmatrix} : a \in \mathbb{F}^k \right\}$ *is a subgroup of* $\mathrm{AGL}_{m+k}(\mathbb{F})$ *that normalizes N;*

(c) *The group $R = M \ltimes N$ is a regular subgroup of* $\mathrm{AGL}_{m+k}(\mathbb{F})$ *and, if Q is non-degenerate and $d \neq 0$, we have $R \cap \mathcal{T} \cong \mathrm{Ker}\,(\varphi)$.*

Proof. Items (a) and the first part of item (b) follow from easy calculations. We just show that M normalizes N:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & -a \\ 0 & \varphi(a)^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & I_k \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & v & Q(v)d \\ 0 & I_m & Jv^{\mathrm{T}} \otimes d \\ 0 & 0 & I_k \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & a \\ 0 & \varphi(a) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & I_k \end{pmatrix} =$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & v\varphi(a) & Q(v)d \\ 0 & I_m & \varphi(a)^{-1}Jv^{\mathrm{T}} \otimes d \\ 0 & 0 & I_k \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & v\varphi(a) & Q(v\varphi(a))d \\ 0 & I_m & J\left(v\varphi(a)\right)^{\mathrm{T}} \otimes d \\ 0 & 0 & I_k \end{pmatrix}.$$

To prove (c) we first observe that

$$\begin{aligned} R &= \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & v & a + Q(v)d \\ 0 & \varphi(a) & \varphi(a)Jv^{\mathrm{T}} \otimes d \\ 0 & 0 & I_k \end{pmatrix} : v \in \mathbb{F}^m, a \in \mathbb{F}^k \right\} \\ &= \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & v & a \\ 0 & \varphi(a - Q(v)d) & \varphi(a - Q(v)d)Jv^{\mathrm{T}} \otimes d \\ 0 & 0 & I_k \end{pmatrix} : v \in \mathbb{F}^m, a \in \mathbb{F}^k \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Now, let r be the element of R having $(1, v, a)$ as first row. Then $r \in R \cap \mathcal{T}$ if and only if $\varphi(a - Q(v)d) = I_m$ and $Jv^{\mathrm{T}} \otimes d = 0$. If Q is non-degenerate and $d \neq 0$, from $Jv^{\mathrm{T}} \otimes d = 0$ we obtain $v = 0$ and so $\varphi(a - Q(v)d) = \varphi(a) = I_m$ if and only if $a \in \mathrm{Ker}\,(\varphi)$. □

Proof of Theorem 1.2. In Lemma 3.1 take $(m, k) = (n - 2, 2)$ if n is even and $\mathrm{char}\,\mathbb{F} = 2$, $(m, k) = (n - 1, 1)$ otherwise. Then there exists a non-degenerate quadratic form Q on $\mathbb{F}^m$ such that $\mathrm{O}_m(\mathbb{F}, Q)$ contains a subgroup isomorphic to $(\mathbb{F}^k, +)$ (see [7, Chapter 11] and the examples below). Let us fix an embedding

$$\psi : (\mathbb{F}^k, +) \to \mathrm{O}_m(\mathbb{F}, Q).$$

Choose any complement U of W in $\mathbb{F}^k$. Since $\mathbb{F}^k = U \oplus W$, each $a \in \mathbb{F}^k$ can be written in a unique way as $a = u_a + w_a$ with $u_a \in U$, $w_a \in W$. Calling $\varpi : \mathbb{F}^k \to \mathbb{F}^k$ the projection onto U, defined by $\varpi(a) = u_a$, the group homomorphism $\varphi = \psi\varpi$:

$(\mathbb{F}^k,+) \to \mathrm{O}_m(\mathbb{F},Q)$ has kernel W. Taking M and N as in Lemma 3.1 we have that $R = M \ltimes N$ is a regular subgroup of $\mathrm{AGL}_n(\mathbb{F})$ such that $R \cap \mathcal{T} \cong (W,+)$. $\square$

Example 3.2. Assume $\mathrm{char}\,\mathbb{F} \neq 2$, $n \geq 4$ and consider the quadratic form Q on $\mathbb{F}^{n-1}$ defined by

$$Q(x_1,\dots,x_{n-1}) = x_1x_3 - x_2^2 + \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=4}^{n-1} x_i^2.$$

The polar form of Q has Gram matrix $J = \mathrm{diag}(J_3, I_{n-4}) \in \mathrm{GL}_{n-1}(\mathbb{F})$, where $J_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & -2 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$. Then the application $\varphi : \mathbb{F} \to \mathrm{GL}_{n-1}(\mathbb{F})$ defined by

$$\varphi(a) = I_{n-1} + 2aE_{2,1} + a^2E_{3,1} + aE_{3,2}$$

is a monomorphism from $(\mathbb{F},+)$ into $\mathrm{O}_{n-1}(\mathbb{F},Q)$. By Lemma 3.1

$$R = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & v & a+Q(v) \\ 0 & \varphi(a) & \varphi(a)Jv^{\mathrm{T}} \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} : v \in \mathbb{F}^{n-1}, a \in \mathbb{F} \right\} \tag{3.1}$$

is a regular subgroup of $\mathrm{AGL}_n(\mathbb{F})$ with no nontrivial translations.

Example 3.3. Assume $\mathrm{char}\,\mathbb{F} = 2$, $n = 2t+1 \geq 3$ and consider the quadratic form Q on $\mathbb{F}^{2t}$ defined by

$$Q(x_1,\dots,x_{2t}) = \sum_{i=1}^{t} x_ix_{t+i}. \tag{3.2}$$

The polar form of Q has Gram matrix $\left(\begin{smallmatrix} 0 & I_t \\ I_t & 0 \end{smallmatrix}\right)$. If $n \geq 5$, the application $\varphi : \mathbb{F} \to \mathrm{GL}_{2t}(\mathbb{F})$ defined by $\varphi(a) = I_{2t} + a(E_{1,t} + E_{2t,t+1})$ is a monomorphism from $(\mathbb{F},+)$ into $\mathrm{O}_{2t}(\mathbb{F},Q)$. If $n = 3$ and $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{F}_2$ define the monomorphism $\varphi : \mathbb{F}_2 \to \mathrm{O}_2(\mathbb{F}_2)$ by setting $\varphi(1) = E_{1,2} + E_{2,1}$. With these definitions of Q and φ, again by Lemma 3.1 we obtain a regular subgroup of $\mathrm{AGL}_n(\mathbb{F})$ of shape (3.1), intersecting trivially $\mathcal{T}$.

Example 3.4. Assume $\mathrm{char}\,\mathbb{F} = 2$, $n = 2t+2 \geq 6$ and consider the quadratic form Q on $\mathbb{F}^{2t}$ defined by (3.2). The application $\varphi : \mathbb{F}^2 \to \mathrm{GL}_{2t}(\mathbb{F})$ defined by

$$\varphi(a,b) = I_{2t} + a(E_{1,t} + E_{2t,t+1}) + b(E_{1,2t} + E_{t,t+1}) + abE_{1,t+1}$$

is a monomorphism from $(\mathbb{F}^2,+)$ into $\mathrm{O}_{2t}(\mathbb{F},Q)$. With these definitions of Q and φ, we obtain a regular subgroup of $\mathrm{AGL}_n(\mathbb{F})$ of shape (3.1), intersecting trivially $\mathcal{T}$.

We conclude observing that direct products of regular subgroups intersecting trivially $\mathcal{T}$ clearly give rise to regular subgroups with the same property. However, by point (iii) of Theorem 1.1 a regular subgroup of $\mathrm{AGL}_6(2^\ell)$, $\ell > 1$, with no nontrivial translations cannot be obtained as a direct product of regular subgroups of $\mathrm{AGL}_3(2^\ell)$, as done in [1]. So the inductive argument used there for $\mathrm{AGL}_{2m}(2^\ell)$, $2m \geq 6$, has a little gap in the basis of induction. But the claim is correct, actually in a stronger form, as confirmed by our Example 3.4.

References

[1] F. Catino, I. Colazzo and P. Stefanelli, Regular subgroups of the affine group and asymmetric product of radical braces, *J. Algebra* **455** (2016) 164–182.
[2] P. Hegedűs, Regular subgroups of the affine group, *J. Algebra* **225** (2000), no. 2, 740–742.
[3] J.E. Humphreys, *Linear algebraic groups*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, No. 21. Springer-Verlag, New York-Heidelberg, 1975.
[4] M.W. Liebeck, C.E. Praeger and J. Saxl, Transitive subgroups of primitive permutation groups, Special issue in honor of Helmut Wielandt. *J. Algebra* **234** (2000), 291–361.
[5] M.A. Pellegrini and M.C. Tamburini Bellani, More on regular subgroups of the affine group, *Linear Algebra Appl.* **505** (2016), 126–151.
[6] M.C. Tamburini Bellani, Some remarks on regular subgroups of the affine group, *Int. J. Group Theory* **1** (2012) 17–23.
[7] D.E. Taylor, *The geometry of the classical groups*, Sigma Series in Pure Mathematics, 9. Heldermann Verlag, Berlin, 1992

E-mail address: marcoantonio.pellegrini@unicatt.it

E-mail address: mariaclara.tamburini@gmail.com

Dipartimento di Matematica e Fisica, Università Cattolica del Sacro Cuore,, Via Musei 41, I-25121 Brescia, Italy